Borrego Water District Board of Directors Special Meeting February 8, 2022 @ 9:00 a.m. 806 Palm Canyon Drive Borrego Springs, CA 92004

COVID-19 UPDATE: The Borrego Water District Board of Directors meeting as scheduled in an electronic format. BWD will be providing public access to the Meeting thru electronic means only to minimize the spread of the COVID-19 virus, based upon direction from the California Department of Public Health, the California Governor's Office and the County Public Health Office. Anyone who wants to listen to or participate in the meeting is encouraged to observe the GO TO MEETING at:

Please join my meeting from your computer, tablet or smartphone. https://meet.goto.com/438484981

You can also dial in using your phone. United States: +1 (872) 240-3212 Access Code: 438-484-981

Get the app now and be ready when your first meeting starts: https://meet.goto.com/install

I. OPENING PROCEDURES -

- A. Call to Order
- B. Pledge of Allegiance
- C. Directors' Roll Call: Directors Baker, Brecht, Duncan, Johnson and President Dice
- **D.** Approval of Agenda
- E. Approval of Minutes
- **F.** Comments from the Public & Requests for Future Agenda Items (may be limited to 3 min)
- G. Comments from Directors
- H. Correspondence Received from the Public- None

II. ITEMS FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION -

- A. Proposition 68 Update G Poole/M Wylie/J Gray
 - 1. Draft Spending Plan Approval
 - 2. Financial Assistance Request from Tubb Canyon D Garmon
 - 3. Other All
- B. Borrego Springs Subbasin Watermaster Board VERBAL D Duncan/K Dice
 - i. Update on Board Activities
 - ii. Update on Technical Advisory Committee Activities T Driscoll

AGENDA: February 8, 2022

The Borrego Springs Water District complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act. Persons with special needs should call Geoff Poole – Board Secretary at (760) 767 – 5806 at least 48 hours in advance of the start of this meeting, in order to enable the District to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility.

If you challenge any action of the Board of Directors in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Directors (c/o the Board Secretary) at, or prior to, the public hearing.

All Documents for public review on file with the District's secretary located at 806 Palm Canyon Drive, Borrego Springs CA 92004. Any public record provided to a majority of the Board of Directors less than 72 hours prior to the meeting, regarding any item on the open session portion of this agenda, is available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Office of the Board Secretary, located at 806 Palm Canyon Drive, Borrego Springs CA 92004.

III. BOARD COMMITTEE REPORTS, IF NEEDED

Standing:

- A. Operations and Infrastructure
- B. Budget and Audit
- C. ACWA/JPIA insurance

Ad Hoc:

- A. Prop 68
- B. Public Outreach
- C. Grants
- D. Cyber Security/Risk Management
- E. Pilot Water Program/Developer's Policy

IV. CLOSED SESSION:

A. Conference with Legal Counsel - Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to paragraph
 (3) of subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9: (One (1) potential case)

B. Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation (BWD v. All Persons Who Claim a Right to Extract Groundwater, et al. (San Diego Superior Court case no. 37-2020-00005776)

v. CLOSING PROCEDURE: The next Board Meeting is scheduled for 9:00 AM February 22, 2022, to be available online. See Board Agenda at BorregoWD.org for details, Agenda information available at least 72 hours before the meeting.

AGENDA: February 8, 2022

All Documents for public review on file with the District's secretary located at 806 Palm Canyon Drive, Borrego Springs CA 92004. Any public record provided to a majority of the Board of Directors less than 72 hours prior to the meeting, regarding any item on the open session portion of this agenda, is available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Office of the Board Secretary, located at 806 Palm Canyon Drive, Borrego Springs CA 92004.

The Borrego Springs Water District complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act. Persons with special needs should call Geoff Poole – Board Secretary at (760) 767 – 5806 at least 48 hours in advance of the start of this meeting, in order to enable the District to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility.

If you challenge any action of the Board of Directors in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Directors (c/o the Board Secretary) at, or prior to, the public hearing.

BORREGO WATER DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING FEBRUARY 8, 2022 AGENDA ITEM II. A

February 4, 2022

TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Geoffrey Poole, General Manager

- SUBJECT: Proposition 68 Update G Poole/M Wylie/J Gray
 - 1. Draft Spending Plan Approval
 - 2. Financial Assistance Request from Tubb Canyon
 - 3. Other

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Receive Report and direct staff as deemed appropriate

ITEM EX<mark>PLANATIO</mark>N

Following is a list of the remaining steps for submittal of the Prop 68 Grant Application documents. Jane Gray from Dudek will be present to walk us through the process. Due to the short timeline, the documents are not ready yet and Staff will share when complete.

- Dudek will be pulling together all of these items for Board consideration and will distribute when they are ready, with the exception of the items highlighted (to be provided by BWD). BWD will provide the Eligibility Self Evaluation form and the previously adopted BWD Board Grant Resolution to Dudek. We would like to provide these to DWR in DRAFT form (watermarked Draft) on Wednesday so that we have an opportunity to circulate to the IPs and receive comments. In the meantime, DWR will be reviewing the materials and Spending Plan so if there are adjustments needed, Dudek can finalize and resubmit before February 18th, but in the interest of time, we have we have everything else done. Any changes can be accommodated.
- Dudek is preparing a cover memo for the BWD Board and a Cover memo for DWR.

Spending Plan	 Applicants should submit a Spending Plan to <u>SGWP@water.ca.gov</u> by noon on February 18, 2022, to obtain funding. The Spending Plan should be completed using the template provided by the assigned DWR Grant Manager. The Spending Plan should have copies of the completed scoring criteria as outlined in Table 7 for each project proposed for funding for the basin.
Project Review Committee Scoring Criteria Sheet(s)	 Scoring criteria sheet(s) per recommended project should be include in Spending Plan due February 18, 2022 This scoring criteria should be used as it is and cannot be edited in any way.
Project Review Committee Review Notes	 Review notes should be included in the Spending Plan due February 18, 2022
Other justification from Project Review Committee	 If the project review committee determine that a lower scoring project(s) should be higher on the ranking list due to available funding, accessibility to the site, already completed environmental/permitting/design, then the project review committee must be responsible for fully documenting and justifying why a lower scoring project was included within the Spending Plan versus a higher scoring project.
Adopted Resolution	 The applicant must provide an adopted resolution that has been adopted by the applicant's governing body designating an authorized representative to submit the application and execute an agreement with the State of California for the SGMA Implementation grant application.
Eligibility Self- Evaluation Form	 Submit the Eligibility Self-Evaluation form, located on the SGM Grant Program website at <u>www.water.ca.gov</u>, with the Spending Plan.

2. BWD has received a request from Tubb Canyon to provide financial assistance. David Garmon will be present to make the request and respond to questions/.

3. Other issues

FISCAL IMPACT TBD

NEXT STEPS Complete documents

ATTACHMENTS

1. Dudek PRC Process and Next Steps Memo

February 4, 2022

Borrego Water District 806 Palm Canyon Drive Borrego Springs, CA 92004

Subject: Prop 68 SGMA Grant – Round 1 PRC Process and Next Steps for DWR Submittal

The Borrego Water District is the Grant Applicant to the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) Prop 68 Round 1 Grant Application. The Borrego Water District Board and the Borrego Springs Watermaster Board have been working in tandem for the development of projects for the Prop 68 Application. In addition, the Borrego Water District engaged in a process to solicit to a number of projects from a variety of Interested Parties. Interested Parties, along with the Borrego Water District and the Borrego Spring Watermaster commenced the process of project development. Contemporaneously, Dudek prepared a guidance document to outline steps associated with project submittal and project selection process to be consistent with the Prop 68 Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) from DWR. An abbreviated description of the Project Review Committee (PRC) process is described below.

The PRC process utilized the Scoring Criteria contained in Table 7 of the December 2021 PSP prepared by DWR as the Scoring Criteria for members of the PRC to use in evaluating, scoring, and ranking projects for the Draft Project List.

Project Review Committee (PRC) - Constitution

The PRC initially comprised eleven (11) members. Specifically:

- Two (2) members appointed by and representing the Borrego Water District/BWD Board
- Three (3) members appointed by and representing the Borrego Springs Watermaster/Watermaster Board
- Six (6) members who appoint one Interested Parties' (IP) representative for each Project, i.e. each Interested Party submitting a Project for consideration may designate a representative to sit on the PRC Borrego Valley Endowment Fund (BVEF), Tubb Canyon Desert Conservancy, Anza Borrego Desert Natural History Association (ABDNHA), Christmas Circle Community Park, Borrego Springs Unified School District, Local Government Commission/Stewardship Council

The PRC process was facilitated by an impartial facilitator. The steps associated with the Prop 68 PRC project scoring and ranking process were outlined to provide the Interested Parties, Stakeholders, and the Public with a clear explanation of the mechanics of the process and to communicate that the process must have integrity, be impartial, and achieve the most competitive suite of eligible projects for inclusion in the Spending Plan and Grant Application. The PRC utilized the Scoring Criteria contained in Table 7 of the December 2021 PSP prepared by DWR as the Scoring Criteria for members of the PRC to use in evaluating, scoring, and ranking projects for the Draft Project List.

The PRC comprised of members who represented the Borrego Water District, Borrego Springs Watermaster, and the Interested Parties. The PRC process was facilitated by Meagan Wylie in an impartial role and followed the subsequent steps:

- 1. Distribution and Receipt of Submitted Projects
- 2. Orientation to the PRC Process and Responsibilities Distribution
- 3. Questions and Answers from the PRC
- 4. PRC Review Process, Preliminary Scoring, and Submittal of Preliminary Scores
- 5. PRC Meeting Convened via Zoom and Open to the Public
- 6. Generation of the Spending Plan and Final Project List

All projects received a PRC draft project score pursuant to the PRC process, a draft project list was generated, and the facilitator and support staff ranked the projects. Those projects that receive the most points consistent with the scoring criteria and deemed eligible projects were ranked the highest.

The PRC convened via Zoom on February 2, 2022 and February 3, 2022 to discuss preliminary project scores, ask clarifying questions to project representatives, review draft scores to determine if they were accurate, and finalize the draft spending plan. Both meetings were open to the public and all PRC members and project representatives were in attendance. Projects were discussed in order of project listing, with the exception of Tubb Canyon's Groundwater Dependent Ecosystem (GDE) project which was requested to be moved up due to the potential collaboration with the BSWM.

The February 2nd meeting reviewed the meeting's agenda, the PRC process, updates to project submissions representatives, and clarification of criterion 5 (Severely Disadvantage Community map). Two projects that were initially represented by BSWM were now represented by San Diego Farm Bureau and AAWARE. One PRC member withdrew their project and removed themself as a PRC member. This changed the number of PRC members from 11 to 10. The draft scoring sheet was updated during the meeting to reflect the PRC member's project resignation and removal as a PRC member. Eight projects (BWD AMI, BWD Solar, BWD Wastewater Treatment Plant Monitoring, BSWM Fallowed Lands, BSWM GDE Monitoring Program, BSWM Monitoring, Reporting, and GMP Update, and TC GDE Identification, Assessment and Monitoring) were discussed and all PRC members were given adequate time to ask clarifying questions to project representatives. Questions that were not answered during the February 2nd meeting due to the need of discussing the topic with Board members or DWR were noted to be rediscussed for the February 3rd meeting. Public comments were taken at the end of the meeting. Two members of the publics made comments.

The February 3rd meeting reviewed the meeting's agenda, updates to project submissions announced after the February 2nd meeting, and updates from the BWD and BSWM meeting with DWR and the Board meetings that took place on February 2nd. Six projects (SDFB/AAWARE Groundwater Augmentation Import, BSUSD Education, BVEF Environmental Monitoring, BVSC Resiliency Strategy, De Anza Water Conservation Plan) were discussed. Similar to the February 2nd meeting, all PRC members were given adequate time to ask clarifying questions to project representatives. De Anza Country Club removed a task from their proposal and reduced their amount of grant funds requested. One PRC member withdrew one project submission. Two projects that were discussed during the February 2nd meeting were revisited and all attendees were given updates on the changes. The BSWM Monitoring, Reporting, and GMP Update had budget revisions and the Tubb Canyon GDE Identification, Assessment, and



Monitoring announced that a collaboration with the Watermaster was not approved by the Watermaster Board. Only one comment from the public was made.

After all projects were discussed, PRC members reviewed their scores during the February 3rd meeting. Any project score changes from PRC members were submitted via the Zoom chat or e-mail. A representative from Borrego Water District updated the score cards during the meeting and all attendees were given the ability to see the changes made in real-time via Zoom screen share. After scores were updated, any clarifying questions about resubmitted score cards were asked to individual PRC members. All PRC members had the opportunity to confirm their score cards for all projects via screen-share after the clarification questions were answered. Projects were ranked in order of project scores – highest to lowest. No PRC member voted to change the ranking of the projects. PRC members voted to submit 10 projects with a \$10,903,600 spending plan for BWD Board members approval to submit to DWR.

The attachments include the project descriptions (**Attachment A**), spending plan of projects approved by the PRC members on February 3, 2022 (**Attachment B**), and individual project scorecards of the 10 projects in the spending plan (**Attachment C**). As stated, preliminary scores were assigned prior to the PRC meetings on February 2nd and 3rd. The reviewed scores are the scores that can be found in the spending plan and individual project scorecards attachments.

DUDEK

Attachment A Project Descriptions



Spending Plan Project Description Summaries

Borrego Water District - Advanced Meter Infrastructure including Customer Leak Detection for Water Conservation/Efficiency (Project #1)

This project will replace all of Borrego Water District's (BWD) manual water meters with an Advanced Metering Infrastructure system and will evaluate the usefulness of remotely controlled automatic valves as add-ons to the system. The benefits of the project include reduction in the basin pumping through early recognition and correction of major and minor water leaks for residential and commercial customers, improvement in BWD water system loss as a result of improved metering accuracy, and water conservation through education and display of real time data to customers.

Borrego Water District - Borrego Water District Well Field Solar Conversion (Project #2)

The project will design, permit, construct, and monitor production of a series of distributed photovoltaic systems at six well sites. BWD operates nine potable production wells to deliver water to its customers and is currently 100% dependent upon San Diego Gas & Electric to provide the required electricity for pumping with annual expenses of approximately \$350,000 per year. The benefit of this project includes direct and immediate water rate relief for BWD customers and insulation from future rate hikes and greenhouse gas reduction.

Borrego Water District - Rams Hill Wastewater Treatment Facility Monitoring Wells (Project #3)

The project will study the fate and transport of nitrogen and total dissolved solids originating from the discharge of effluent, document existing spare capacity of the Rams Hills Wastewater Treatment Facility and evaluate potential modifications to the treatment process. The facility is a 250,000 gallons-per-day extended aeration (oxidation ditch) plant with evaporation/percolation ponds for disposal. The benefits of this project include protection and potentially enhancement of water quality through future upgrades to the wastewater treatment facility processes, if necessary.

Borrego Springs Unified School District - Educate Your Community, Sustain Your Water (Project #9)

This project will create a Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathway in Energy, Environment, and Utilities for Borrego Springs Middle and High Schools. Currently there is little understanding among students and their families about Borrego's water sustainability challenges and the required ramp down of water usage over the next 18 years. The benefits of this project include community-wide enhanced understanding of Borrego Springs' overdrafted water basin, conservation of water due to collective knowledge and appreciation of our legal requirement to pump less water, sustainable water levels will be reached over time with this community-wide awareness, local students and residents become part of the solution to the sustainable groundwater management through their pursuit of less water intensive careers, creation of an economically viable and sustainable community, and tourists will also be made aware of Borrego's sustainable groundwater management requirements.

Borrego Valley Stewardship Council – Borrego Springs Community Education, Empowerment, & Visioning for a Resilient Community Strategy (Project #12)

This project will convene groundwater stakeholders to develop plans, programs and project to improve watershed health. It will establish a network of partners across the basin for community visioning and integrated planning, support education and engagement with the Community Plan Updated and Watermaster Board implementation of the groundwater Settlement Agreement and Groundwater Management Plan and ensure natural resources and ecological priorities are aligned and protected across the region's primary planning documents. The benefits of this project include educating and engaging vulnerable and marginalized community members in Borrego Springs, empowering them to develop solutions for adapting to climate impacts including constrained water resources, warming temperatures, air quality deterioration, and indirect effects on public health, the economy, and the environment.



Borrego Springs Watermaster: Environmental Work Group - Biological Restoration of Fallowed Lands (Project #4)

This project will characterize historical and current conditions of lands, explore the feasibility of various biological restoration/rehabilitation techniques, and develop guidance for future biological restoration projects on current and future fallowed lands within the Subbasin. Fallowing of agricultural lands will be a primary tool to reduce groundwater demands, but there are several adverse impacts that could be associated with fallowing, including airborne emissions through wind-blown dust, the introduction or spreading of invasive plant species, and changes to the landscape that could adversely affect visual quality. The benefits of this project includes the development of guidance criteria for the use of biological restoration as a technique to mitigate the potential adverse impacts associated with fallowing of lands.

Borrego Springs Watermaster - Monitoring, Reporting, and GMP Update for Sustainable Management in the Borrego Springs Subbasin (Project #6)

This project will provide a comprehensive monitoring, analysis, data management and reporting program that will ensure the effective implementation of the pumping ramp down, including filling data gaps identified in the Judgement and Groundwater Management Plan, and performing the required redetermination of the Sustainable Yield and Groundwater Management Plan updated due in 2025. The benefits of the project are the development of robust data sets needed to assess if the key elements of the Physical Solution are achieving the desired results including, but not limited to reductions in pumping, decreased rate of water level declines, water quality consistent with drinking water regulations, and refined estimation of water budget components.

De Anza Desert Country Club - Water Conservation Plan (Project #14)

This project will reduce the De Anza Desert County Club's, a non-privately owned, 501C (7) non-profit organization, annual water consumption and expedite their commitment to reduce pumping from the critically over-drafted basin through a two-pronged approach: 1) turf reduction and conversion to low water consumptive indigenous landscaping and 2) overhaul of the irrigation system for efficiency and control. The benefits of this project include reduction of water consumptive turf area and fast forward the organization's goal by 9+ years via completion of the turf reduction project.

Borrego Valley Endowment Fund – Augmented Environmental Monitoring to Support Sustainable Groundwater Management (Project #11)

This project will provide two datasets to the Borrego Watermaster, water management planners, and the affected citizens of the region that fill in gaps in planning for and assessing the consequences of sustainable groundwater management. The first data will be generated by augmenting Borrego's existing hydroclimate monitoring system with two new stations in the eastern sub-basin that fill the spatial gaps of the current system. The second data set focuses exclusively on the air quality impacts of fallowing agricultural lands. The benefits of this project enhancing the understanding of the water economy of the Subbasin and enabling the essential Management Action to proceed in a manner consistent with the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act goal of retaining a healthy, thriving community throughout the ramp down process.

Tubb Canyon Desert Conservancy – Borrego Basin Groundwater Dependent Ecosystem (GDE) Identification, Assessment, & Monitoring (Project #15)

This project will determine if the "potential" GDE of ecosystems that were once indisputable groundwater dependent, but at the present time may no longer be accessing groundwater due to declines in the water table over the past several decades is, in fact, groundwater dependent. It will also determine if the groundwater that supports the GDEs of ecosystems that occur on the edges of the Subbasin as defined in DWR Bulletin 118, that may, or may not, be maintained by groundwater is impacted by changes in groundwater levels in the Borrego Subbasin. The benefit of the project includes a significantly enhanced understanding of the Borrego Subbasin, particularly as it pertains to the potential environmental/ecological users of water in the Subbasin and will ensure

DUDEK

that the necessary and unavoidable water conservation Management Actions that are being undertaken in the Borrego Subbasin are occurring accordance with the requirements of the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act.

DUDEK

Attachment B Spending Plan



Project	Project Title	IP	Score	Grant Cost	Total
Project #1	Advanced Metering Infrastructure	BWD	25.5	\$1,275,000	\$1,275,000
Project #2	Solar Prject	BWD	23.9	\$3,159,000	\$4,434,000
Project #3	WWTP Monitoring Wells	BWD	23.2	\$206,500	\$4,640,500
Project #9	Education Project	BUSD	22.9	\$384,000	\$5,024,500
Project #12	Resiliency Strategy	BVSC	18.7	\$200,000	\$5,224,500
Project #4	Biological Restoration of Fallowed Lands	BSWM	18.3	\$755,340	\$5,979,840
Project #6	Monitoring, Reporting and GMP Update	BSWM	17.6	\$1,983,250	\$7,963,090
Project #14	De Anza Water Conservation Plan	DADC	17.4	\$1,217,110	\$9,180,200
Project #11	Air Quality Monitoring	BVEF	17.1	\$686,400	\$9,866,600
Project #15	GDE Identification, Assessment & Monitoring	TCDC	16.1	\$1,037,000	\$10,903,600

Attachment C Project Scorecards



Project #1 Advanced Metering Infrastructure

IP BWD

Grant Cost \$1,275,000

	. , ,	-											
			PRC member										
Section Name	Q#	Possible Pts	Baker	Bennett	Garmon	Johnson	Keller	Seley	Shalizi	Smith	Staehle	Stevens	Score
General	1	4		3	4		4	4	4	3	4	4	3.8
General - Imp	2-Imp	4		2	4		2	1.5	2	1	4	0.5	2.1
General - Plan	2-Plan	4					2	1.5		3		1.5	2
General	3	3		2	2		3	3	2	2	2	3	2.4
General	4	2		2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2	2
General	5	3		3	3		3	3	3	3	3	3	3
General	6	3		2	2		2	3	2	1	2	2	2
General	7	4		3	1		4	4	2	0	3	3	2.5
Scope of Work	8	3		3	3		2	3	3	3	3	2	2.8
Budget	9	3		3	3		3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Schedule	10	1		1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total Pos	sible Points	30		24	25		28	29	24	22	27	25	25.5
Tot	Total Funding Recommended												
													l

Project #2Solar PrjectIPBWD

Grant Cost \$3,159,000

+-,,	-											
						PRC m	ember					
Q#	Possible Pts	Baker	Bennett	Garmon	Johnson	Keller	Seley	Shalizi	Smith	Staehle	Stevens	Score
1	4		3	3		4	4	3	4	4	4	3.6
2-Imp	4		2	4		2	1	1	2	2	1.5	1.9
2-Plan	4					2	0.5	1	2	2	1.5	1.5
3	3		3	2		3	3	3	2	2	2	2.5
4	2		2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2	2
5	3		3	3		3	3	3	3	3	3	3
6	3		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	4		3	4		4	2	2	4	4	2	3.1
8	3		3	3		2	3	3	3	3	3	2.9
9	3		3	1		3	3	3	3	3	3	2.8
10	1		1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
ssible Points	30		23	23		26	22.5	22	26	26	23	23.9
tal Funding R	ecommended											
	Q# 1 2-Imp 2-Plan 3 4 5 6 7 6 7 8 9 10 ssible Points	Q#Possible Pts142-Imp42-Plan433425363748393101ssible Points30	Q# Possible Pts Baker 1 4 Baker 1 4 Frage 2-Imp 4 Frage 2-Plan 4 Frage 3 3 Frage 4 2 Frage 5 3 Frage 6 3 Frage 7 4 Frage 8 3 Frage 9 3 Frage 10 1 Frage	Q# Possible Pts Baker Bennett 1 4 3 3 2-Imp 4 2 2 2-Plan 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 2 2 2 5 3 3 3 6 3 0 3 7 4 3 3 8 3 3 3 9 3 3 3 10 1 1 1 ssible Points 30 23 3	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmon14332-Imp4242-Plan422332242225333630074348333933110111ssible Points302323	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmonJohnson143332-Imp42422-Plan422433224422245333363001743438333493311101111ssible Points30232323	Q# Possible Pts Baker Bennett Garmon Johnson Keller 1 4 3 3 4 2 2-Imp 4 2 4 2 2 2-Plan 4 2 4 2 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 3 4 2 2 2 2 3	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmonJohnsonKellerSeley1433442-Imp424212-Plan4-20.5333423220.533322222536322253630007443423833423393631111011111ssible Points3023232622.5	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmonJohnsonKellerSeleyShalizi14334432-Imp4242112-Plan4-20.513332-20.513332-2225363333363000000744334228334223393-1111stible Points3023232622.522	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmonJohnsonKellerSeleyShaliziSmith143344342-Imp42421122-Plan420.512332-222223322222224222222225363000000744344224833333333936311111101111111ssible Points3023232622.52226	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmonJohnsonKellerSeleyShaliziSmithStaehle1433443442-Imp424211222-Plan4-24222223322233222426322222225363000000007463333333333936311111111stible Points302323232622.5222626	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmonJohnsonKellerSeleyShaliziSmithStaehleStevens1433344434442-Imp424434442-Imp424211221.52-Plan4233221.51221.533322233322224222222222222533333333333363000000000074434423333333933311111111stible Points302323262622.522262623

Project #3 WWTP Monitoring Wells

IP BWD

Grant Cost \$206,500

	. ,	_											
			PRC member										
Section Name	Q#	Possible Pts	Baker	Bennett	Garmon	Johnson	Keller	Seley	Shalizi	Smith	Staehle	Stevens	Score
General	1	4		3	4		4	3	4	3	4	2	3.4
General - Imp	2-Imp	4		3	1		0.5	0	1	1	2	1.5	1.3
General - Plan	2-Plan	4					2	1	1	3		1.5	1.7
General	3	3		2	2		2	3	2	2	2	1	2
General	4	2		2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2	2
General	5	3		3	3		3	2	1	3	3	2	2.5
General	6	3		3	1		2	1	1	3	1	0	1.5
General	7	4		2	4		1	2	2	2	4	3	2.5
Scope of Work	8	3		3	3		3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Budget	9	3		3	3		3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Schedule	10	1		1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total Pos	ssible Points	30		25	24		23.5	21	21	26	25	20	23.2
Tot	Total Funding Recommended												
		_											I

Project #4 Biological Restoration of Fallowed Lands

IP BSWM

Grant Cost \$755,340

		PRC member										
Q#	Possible Pts	Baker	Bennett	Garmon	Johnson	Keller	Seley	Shalizi	Smith	Staehle	Stevens	Score
1	4	4		4	3.5	4		2		4	4	3.6
2-Imp	4	2				1		1			1.5	1.4
2-Plan	4			3	4	2		1		2	2	2.3
3	3	1		1	1	2		1		1	2	1.3
4	2	2		2	1	2		2		2	2	1.9
5	3	1		3	3	3		0		1	2	1.9
6	3	0		0	2	1		0		0	1	0.6
7	4	0		0	2	0		0		0	0	0.3
8	3	3		3	3	3		3		3	2	2.9
9	3	2		2	2	2		3		2	2	2.1
10	1	1		1	1	1		1		1	1	1
sible Points	30	16		19	22.5	21		14		16	19.5	18.3
al Funding R	ecommended											
	1 2-Imp 2-Plan 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 sible Points	1 4 2-Imp 4 2-Plan 4 3 3 4 2 5 3 6 3 7 4 8 3 9 3 10 1 sible Points 30	1442-Imp422-Plan4-3314225316307408339321011	1 4 4 2-Imp 4 2 2-Plan 4 2 3 3 1 4 2 2 5 3 1 6 3 0 7 4 0 8 3 3 9 3 2 10 1 1 sible Points 30 16	1 4 4 4 2-Imp 4 2 3 2-Plan 4 3 3 3 3 1 1 4 2 2 2 5 3 1 3 6 3 0 0 7 4 0 0 8 3 3 3 9 3 2 2 10 1 1 1 sible Points 30 16 19	1 4 4 4 3.5 2-Imp 4 2 3 4 2-Plan 4 2 3 4 3 3 1 1 1 4 2 2 2 1 5 3 1 3 3 6 3 0 0 2 7 4 0 0 2 8 3 3 3 3 9 3 2 2 2 10 1 1 1 1 sible Points 30 16 19 22.5	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmonJohnsonKeller14443.542-Imp42-112-Plan423423311124222125313336300217400208333339322221011111sible Points30161922.521	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmonJohnsonKellerSeley14443.542-Imp42-112-Plan4.3342331.12422.12531.333630.021740020833.33932.2221011111sible Points3016.1922.521	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmonJohnsonKellerSeleyShalizi1444 3.5 4222-Imp4211112-Plan4234213311121422212253133306300210740020083333339322222310111111sible Points30161922.52114	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmonJohnsonKellerSeleyShaliziSmith14443.54222-Imp4211112-Plan42342133111211422112114221330153153002106300210011740020033393222223311011111111sible Points30161922.5211414	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmonJohnsonKellerSeleyShaliziSmithStaehle14443.542242-Imp42111122-Plan4-34212331112124221121142212122531-33301630-1210074002100393222223321011111111sible Points30161922.521141414	Q#Possible PtsBakerBennettGarmonJohnsonKellerSeleyShaliziSmithStaehleStevens1443.5422442-Imp421111111.52-Plan42342122233111211222422121222225313330122122630021001221333

Project #6 Monitoring, Reporting and GMP Update

IP BSWM

Grant Cost \$1,983,250

	. , ,	_											
			PRC member										
Section Name	Q#	Possible Pts	Baker	Bennett	Garmon	Johnson	Keller	Seley	Shalizi	Smith	Staehle	Stevens	Score
General	1	4	4		2	4	2		4		2	2	2.9
General - Imp	2-Imp	4	4		2	4	2		4		4	1	3
General - Plan	2-Plan	4			1		2		0		N/A	0.5	0.9
General	3	3	2		0	1	0		3		1	2	1.3
General	4	2	2		2	3	2		2		2	1	2
General	5	3	3		1	1	1		0		1	1	1.1
General	6	3	2		1	1	3		1		1	0	1.3
General	7	4	0		2	2	2		0		1	1	1.1
Scope of Work	8	3	2		2	2	2		3		2	1	2
Budget	9	3	1		2	3	1		3		0	1	1.6
Schedule	10	1	1		0	1	1		1		1	1	0.9
Total Poss	Total Possible Points 30		21		15	22	18		21		15	11.5	17.6
Tota	al Funding R	ecommended											

Project #9 Education Project

IP BUSD

Grant Cost \$384,000

			PRC member										
Section Name	Q#	Possible Pts	Baker	Bennett	Garmon	Johnson	Keller	Seley	Shalizi	Smith	Staehle	Stevens	Score
General	1	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	4		3.7
General - Imp	2-Imp	4	4	1	1	2	2	0.5	4	1	2		1.9
General - Plan	2-Plan	4				2	2	1.5	0	2			1.5
General	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		3
General	4	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2		1.9
General	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		3
General	6	3	0	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	1		0.9
General	7	4	0	0	0	1	4	0	1	1	1		0.9
Scope of Work	8	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3		2.9
Budget	9	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3		2.9
Schedule	10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1
Total Pos	sible Points	30	23	20	20	25	29	21	22	23	23		22.9
Tot	al Funding R	ecommended											

Project #11 Air Quality Monitoring

IP BVEF

Grant Cost \$686,400

	. ,	_											-
			PRC member										
on Name	Q#	Possible Pts	Baker	Bennett	Garmon	Johnson	Keller	Seley	Shalizi	Smith	Staehle	Stevens	Score
eral	1	4	4	1		4	4	2	2	2	4	4	3
eral - Imp	2-Imp	4	3	0		2	1.5	0	0	1	3	1.5	1.3
eral - Plan	2-Plan	4				2	2	0.5	1	1		2	1.4
eral	3	3	2	1		3	2	0	2	2	2	1	1.7
eral	4	2	2	2		1	2	0	2	2	2	2	1.7
eral	5	3	3	1		1	3	2	0	3	3	3	2.1
eral	6	3	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0.2
eral	7	4	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e of Work	8	3	3	3		3	3	3	3	2	3	2	2.8
et	9	3	2	3		3	2	2	3	2	2	2	2.3
dule	10	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total Pc	ssible Points	30	20	12		20	21.5	10.5	14	16	21	18.5	17.1
Тс	tal Funding R	ecommended											
dule Total Pc	10 ssible Points	1 30	1 20	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	

Project #12 Resiliency Strategy

IP BVSC

Grant Cost \$200,000

		-											
			PRC member										
Section Name	Q#	Possible Pts	Baker	Bennett	Garmon	Johnson	Keller	Seley	Shalizi	Smith	Staehle	Stevens	Score
General	1	4	4	1	3	4		1	2	1	2	4	2.4
General - Imp	2-Imp	4	3		4	2		0	0	0	3	1.5	1.7
General - Plan	2-Plan	4		1		2		0	1	0		1.5	0.9
General	3	3	2	2	3	3		3	2	1	3	2	2.3
General	4	2	2	2	2	1		2	2	1	2	1	1.7
General	5	3	3	3	3	3		2	0	3	3	2	2.4
General	6	3	1	0	1	1		0	0	0	1	1	0.6
General	7	4	0	0	1	3		0	0	0	1	1	0.7
Scope of Work	8	3	3	3	3	3		2	3	2	3	3	2.8
Budget	9	3	3	3	3	3		0	3	3	3	3	2.7
Schedule	10	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
Total Pos	Total Possible Points 30			16	24	26		11	14	12	22	21	18.7
Tot	al Funding R	Recommended											

Project #14 De Anza Water Conservation Plan

IP DADC

Grant Cost \$1,217,110

Section Name General General - Imp	Q# 1 2-Imp	Possible Pts 4	Baker 1	Bennett	Garmon	Johnson	PRC m						
	1 2-Imp		1			301113011	Keller	Seley	Shalizi	Smith	Staehle	Stevens	Score
General - Imp	2-Imp			3	4	1	4	4		2	4	4	3
ocherar imp		4	1	2	1	1	0.5	1.5		2	2	1.5	1.4
General - Plan	2-Plan	4					2	1.5		1		1.5	1.5
General	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	2	0.3
General	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		2	2	2	2
General	5	3	2	3	2	1	3	2		1	3	1	2
General	6	3	0	1	1	0	1	1		0	0	1	0.6
General	7	4	0	0	1	0	2	2		0	0	0	0.6
Scope of Work	8	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		3	3	3	3
Budget	9	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		2	3	3	2.9
Schedule	10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1
Total Possib	le Points	30	13	18	18	12	21.5	21		15	18	20	17.4
Total F	Funding R	ecommended											

Project #15 GDE Identification, Assessment & Monitoring

IP TCDC

Grant Cost \$1,037,000

-		_											-
			PRC member										
Section Name	Q#	Possible Pts	Baker	Bennett	Garmon	Johnson	Keller	Seley	Shalizi	Smith	Staehle	Stevens	Score
General	1	4	2	1	4	4	4	4	3	2		2	2.9
General - Imp	2-Imp	4	2		3	1	1	1	2	0		1	1.4
General - Plan	2-Plan	4		0		2	2	1.5	0	2		1	1.2
General	3	3	2	1	3	3	2	2	0	2		1	1.8
General	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1		2	1.9
General	5	3	0	1	3	1	3	2	0	3		1	1.6
General	6	3	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	1		0	0.6
General	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0		0	0.1
Scope of Work	8	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1		2	2.7
Budget	9	3	1	1	2	3	2	2	1	1		2	1.7
Schedule	10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0		0	0.8
Total Possi	ble Points	30	13	10	23	21	20	19.5	13	13		12	16.1
Total	l Funding R	ecommended											
													1

SPENDING PLAN TEMPLATE

Use the following naming convention for the email subject line when submitting the Critically Overdrafted (COD) Basin – Round 1 Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) Implementation solicitation Spending Plan:

"BasinName_ApplicantName_SpendingPlanPackage"

This Spending Plan submission will contain a maximum of 5 email attachments: Spending Plan (required), Attachment A – Scoring Criteria (required), Attachment B – Resolution (required), Attachment C – Eligibility Self-Certification Checklist (required), and Attachment D – Backup Documentation (optional). The entire Spending Plan application, including completed tables and attachments, may be submitted to <u>SGWP@water.ca.gov</u>. Applications should be submitted after February 1, 2022 and before February 18, 2022.

Please remove ALL instructions (including the second row of Table 1) before submission.

Grant Proposal Spending Plan (Required)

Use the following naming convention for the Spending Plan:

"BasinName_ApplicantName_SpendingPlan".

The Spending Plan (Table 1) must contain a component rank, component name, estimated score, anticipated benefactors, estimated cost, and a justification for the rank and component. Please also see the examples (*) below on how to list components with and without tasks. This plan will also be accompanied with completed Table 2 (Budget) and completed Table 3 (Schedule) within the same document.

Component-Type requirements for Table 1 are listed in Section II.A on page 7 of the PSP. These are applicable for San Joaquin Valley (SJV) COD basins ONLY.

Applicant Name: Borrego Springs Water District Table 1 – Spending Plan

Basin Name: Borrego Springs Subbasin

Rank	Name	Estimated Score	COD SJV Component Requirement	Benefactors	Cost	Justification
Rank in order with smaller numbers being more competitive.	Provide a name for each proposed component.	Include an estimated component score using the scoring template from the PSP.	Please check box if the component is eligible for SJV-funds	Does this component benefit any of the following communities? (List all that apply) Tribe(s), Underrepresented Community (-ies) (URC), or Severely Disadvantaged Community (-ies) (SDAC)	Provide a cost estimate for the total component cost. Whole dollar amount only.	Include a justification that explains the rank given to each component. If a lower scoring component is ranked higher, you must explain why.
1	Advanced Meter Infrastructure including Customer Leak Detection for Water Conservation/Efficiency - Borrego Water District	25.5		□ Tribe(s) ⊠ URC(s) ⊠ SDAC(s)	\$ 1,275,000	The project rank was based on the average score of all Project Review Committee (PRC) members' individual scores. The scoring criteria used was from Table 7 in the SGMA Grant Program Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) published by DWR.
2	Borrego Water District Well Field Solar Conversion - Borrego Water District	23.9		□ Tribe(s) ⊠ URC(s) ⊠ SDAC(s)	\$ 3,159,000	The project rank was based on the average score of all Project Review Committee (PRC) members' individual scores. The scoring criteria used was from Table 7 in the SGMA Grant Program Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) published by DWR.
3	Rams Hill Wastewater Treatment Facility Monitoring Wells - Borrego Water District	23.2		□ Tribe(s) ⊠ URC(s) ⊠ SDAC(s)	\$ 206,500	The project rank was based on the average score of all Project Review Committee (PRC) members' individual scores. The scoring criteria used was from Table 7 in the SGMA Grant Program Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) published by DWR.
4	Educate Your Community, Sustain Your Water - Borrego Springs Unified School District	22.9		□ Tribe(s) ⊠ URC(s) ⊠ SDAC(s)	\$ 384,000	The project rank was based on the average score of all Project Review Committee (PRC) members' individual scores. The scoring criteria used was from Table 7 in the SGMA Grant Program Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) published by DWR.
5	Borrego Springs Community Education, Empowerment, & Visioning for a Resilient Community Strategy - Borrego Valley Stewardship Council	18.7		□ Tribe(s) ⊠ URC(s) ⊠ SDAC(s)	\$ 200,000	The project rank was based on the average score of all Project Review Committee (PRC) members' individual scores. The scoring criteria used was from Table 7 in the SGMA Grant Program Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) published by DWR.

A D A U *I*

Applicant Name: Borrego Springs Water District				Basin Name: Borrego Springs Subbasin		
6	Biological Restoration of Fallowed Lands - Borrego Springs Watermaster: Environmental Work Group	18.3		□ Tribe(s) ⊠ URC(s) ⊠ SDAC(s)	\$ 755,340	The project rank was based on the average score of all Project Review Committee (PRC) members' individual scores. The scoring criteria used was from Table 7 in the SGMA Grant Program Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) published by DWR.
7	Monitoring, Reporting, and GMP Update for Sustainable Management in the Borrego Springs Subbasin - Borrego Springs Watermaster	17.6		□ Tribe(s) ⊠ URC(s) ⊠ SDAC(s)	\$ 1,983,250	The project rank was based on the average score of all Project Review Committee (PRC) members' individual scores. The scoring criteria used was from Table 7 in the SGMA Grant Program Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) published by DWR.
8	Water Conservation Plan - De Anza Country Club	17.4		□ Tribe(s) ⊠ URC(s) ⊠ SDAC(s)	\$ 1,217,000	The project rank was based on the average score of all Project Review Committee (PRC) members' individual scores. The scoring criteria used was from Table 7 in the SGMA Grant Program Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) published by DWR.
9	Augmented Environmental Monitoring to Support Sustainable Groundwater Management - Borrego Valley Endowment Fund	17.1		□ Tribe(s) ⊠ URC(s) ⊠ SDAC(s)	\$ 686,400	The project rank was based on the average score of all Project Review Committee (PRC) members' individual scores. The scoring criteria used was from Table 7 in the SGMA Grant Program Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) published by DWR.
10	Borrego Basin Groundwater Dependent Ecosystem (GDE) Identification, Assessment, & Monitoring - Tubb Canyon Desert Conservancy	16.1		□ Tribe(s) ⊠ URC(s) ⊠ SDAC(s)	\$ 1,037,000	The project rank was based on the average score of all Project Review Committee (PRC) members' individual scores. The scoring criteria used was from Table 7 in the SGMA Grant Program Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) published by DWR.
				Total Cost:	\$ 10,903,600	

Grant Proposal Summary Budget (Required)

Use Table 2: Spending Plan Summary Budget Table

NOTE: the <u>maximum grant administration budget cannot exceed 10%</u> and the <u>maximum construction administration</u> <u>budget cannot exceed 15%</u> of the requested grant funds. Grantees shall invoice and report on a quarterly basis only.

TABLE 2 – GRANT PROPOSAL SUMMARY BUDGET

Budget Categories ¹	Requested Grant Amount
Component 1: Grant Administration	\$ 0
Component 2: Advanced Meter Infrastructure including Customer Leak Detection for Water Conservation/Efficiency	\$ 1,275,000
Project Administration	\$ 50,000
Planning/Design/Environmental	\$ 75,000
Construction Implementation	\$ 1,100,000
Monitoring/Assessment	\$ 45,000
Interested Parties Outreach/Public Education	\$ 30,000
Component 3: Borrego Water District Well Field Solar Conversion	\$ 3,159,000
Project Administration	\$ 50,000
Planning/Design/Environmental	\$ 160,000
Construction/Implementation	\$ 2,885,000
Monitoring/Assessment	\$ 49,000
Interested Parties Outreach/Education	\$ 15,000
Component 4: Rams Hill Wastewater Treatment Facility Monitoring Wells	\$ 206,500
Project Administration	\$ 10,000
Planning/Design/Environmental	\$ 19,000
Construction/Implementation	\$ 141,000
Monitoring/Assessment	\$ 33,500
Interested Parties Outreach/Education	\$ 3,000
Component 5: Educate Your Community, Sustain Your Water	\$ 384,000
Project Administration	
Planning/Design/Environmental	
Construction/Implementation	
Monitoring/Assessment	
Interested Parties Outreach/Education	

Component 6: Borrego Springs Community Education, Empowerment, & Visioning for a Resilient Community Strategy	\$ 200,000
Project Administration	\$ 20,000
Planning/Design/Environmental	\$ 30,000
Construction/Implementation	\$ 55,000
Interested Parties Outreach/Education	\$ 95,000
Component 7: Biological Restoration of Fallowed Lands	\$ 755,340
Project Administration	\$ 50,000
Monitoring/Assessment	\$ 655,340
Interested Parties Outreach/Education	\$ 50,000
Component 8: Monitoring, Reporting, and GMP Update for Sustainable Management in the Borrego Springs Subbasin	\$ 1,983,250
Project Administration	\$ 137,000
Construction/Implementation	\$ 429,000
Monitoring/Assessment	\$ 1,167,250
Interested Parties Outreach/Education	\$ 250,000
Component 9: Water Conservation Plan	<mark>\$ 1,</mark> 217,000
Project Administration	\$ 46,812
Planning/Design/Environmental	\$ 82,504
Construction/Implementation	\$ 1,087,794
Component 10: Augmented Environmental Monitoring to Support Sustainable Groundwater Management	\$ 686,400
Project Administration	\$ 76,400
Planning/Design/Environmental	\$ 50,000
Construction/Implementation	\$ 140,000
Monitoring/Assessment	\$ 415,000
Interested Parties Outreach/Education	\$ 5,000
Component 11: Borrego Basin Groundwater Dependent Ecosystem (GDE) Identification, Assessment, & Monitoring	\$ 1,037,000
Project Administration	\$ 30,000
Planning/Design/Environmental	\$ 70,000
Monitoring/Assessment	\$ 817,000
Interested Parties Outreach/Education	\$ 120,000
Grand Total	\$0

Grant Proposal Summary Schedule (Required)

The schedule should show the sequence and timing of each of the proposed components, depending on what are outlined in the Spending Plan and Budget table.

The proposal dates within the proposal must start and end at the following dates:

Start Date –	Reimbursable grant funds begin after the 2021 Guidelines and PSP approval date (December 17, 2021).
Work Completion Date –	All work, including final invoicing and reporting and retention invoice, must be completed on or before June 30, 2025.

The dates within the Schedule cannot be before the Start Date listed above or after the Work Completion Date. The Work Completion Date is the date that all deliverables and invoices are submitted to DWR and approved by the DWR Grant Manager. The Work Completion Date IS NOT the construction end date. Absolutely no work will be reimbursed or reported as local cost share after the Work Completion Date.

TABLE 3B – GRANT PROPOSAL SCHEDULE

Categories	Start Date	End Date
Component 1: Grant Agreement Administration	Earliest Start Date	Latest End Date
Component 2: Advanced Meter Infrastructure including Customer Leak Detection for Water Conservation/Efficiency	01/01/2022	12/31/2024
Component 3: Borrego Water District Well Field Solar Conversion	01/01/2022	12/31/2024
Component 4: Rams Hill Wastewater Treatment Facility Monitoring Wells	01/01/2022	06/01/2023
Component 5: Educate Your Community, Sustain Your Water	07/01/2022	06/30/2025
Component 6: Borrego Springs Community Education, Empowerment, & Visioning for a Resilient Community Strategy	04/01/2022	06/30/2024
Component 7: Biological Restoration of Fallowed Lands	07/01/2022	06/30/2025
Component 8: Monitoring, Reporting, and GMP Update for Sustainable Management in the Borrego Springs Subbasin	01/01/2022	06/30/2025
Component 9: Water Conservation Plan	05/16/2022	11/01/2022
Component 10: Augmented Environmental Monitoring to Support Sustainable Groundwater Management	01/01/2023	X
Component 11: Borrego Basin Groundwater Dependent Ecosystem (GDE) Identification, Assessment, & Monitoring	04/01/2022	06/30/2025

ATTACHMENT A. SCORING CRITERIA (Required)

For the naming convention of this email attachment, use the following:

"AttA_ApplicantName_ScoringCriteria".

The applicant must use the Scoring Criteria Excel file provided by DWR's Grant Manager and email the completed form as an attachment with the naming convention above within the same email as the Spending Plan. This attachment will contain copies of the completed scoring criteria for each component proposed for funding for the basin, a methodology of how components were ranked, an explanation of how review panels were formed, and a list of review panelists. If a question does not apply to a component, the score would be listed as "0" and the review committee will need to provide justification within the Spending Plan if the applicant is still wanting to bring that component forward for funding. This is a required attachment.

ATTACHMENT B. RESOLUTION (Required)

For the naming convention of this email attachment, use the following:

"AttB_ApplicantName_Resolution".

The applicant must provide an adopted resolution that has been adopted by the applicant's governing body designating an authorized representative to submit the application and execute an agreement with the State of California for the SGMA Implementation grant application.

IF an entity is acting on behalf of a GSA, then an adopted resolution from the GSA is required authorizing the applicant entity to act in such a role. Furthermore, a resolution is required by the entity acting as applicant stating authorization to work on behalf of the GSA as previously described. Therefore, in this example, no less than two adopted resolutions are required for the application and grant execution.

<u>If the resolution cannot be adopted prior to the application due date</u>, provide draft copies of the resolution(s), discuss the situation in Attachment B, and include an anticipated submittal date for the adopted resolution(s). An Agreement cannot be signed without an adopted resolution signed by the appropriate authorities.

The following text box provides an example of the resolution that must be submitted to fulfill this requirement.

RESOLUTION NO.

Resolved by the *<Insert Name of Applicant Governing Body>*, that an application be made to the California Department of Water Resources to obtain a grant under the 2021 Sustainable Groundwater Management (SGM) Grant Program SGMA Implementation Grant pursuant to the California Budget Act of 2021 (Stats. 2021, ch. 240, § 80) and to enter into an agreement to receive a grant for the: *<Insert Project Name>*. The *<Insert title of Authorized Applicant Official>* of the *<Insert Name of Applicant>*, or designee, is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary data, conduct investigations, file such application, and execute a grant agreement and any future amendments (if required), submit invoices, and submit any reporting requirements with the California Department of Water Resources. Passed and adopted at a meeting of the *<Insert Name of Applicant>* on *<Insert date>*.

Authorized Original Signature	2:	
Printed Name:		
Title:		
Clerk/Secretary:		

CERTIFICATION

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the *<Insert Name of Applicant>* held on *<Insert date>*.

Clerk/Secretary:

DWR highly recommends you follow this language verbatim to ensure that the resolution is sufficient to execute an agreement, execute future amendments (if required), submit invoices, and submit all reporting requirements. <u>Any</u> <u>deviation from this template may result in a delay in executing the Agreement and beginning the Project</u>.

ATTACHMENT C. ELIGIBILITY SELF-CERTIFICATION FORM (Required)

For the naming convention of this email attachment, use the following:

"AttC_ApplicantName_EligibilityChecklistForm".

The applicant must use the form located on the Program's website (<u>www.water.ca.gov/sgmgrants</u>) and upload the completed form as Attachment C. Details for the eligibility criteria can be found in Section III.C. of the 2021 Guidelines.

ATTACHMENT D. OTHER ADDITIONAL BACKUP (Optional)

For the naming convention of this email attachment, use the following:

"AttD_ApplicantName_AdditionalBackup".

If submitting backup documents, the attachment must use the naming convention above within the same email as the Spending Plan. Any additional information that would help facilitate the solicitation process and enhance the understanding of the components proposed shall be included in this attachment. This is an optional attachment.